

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Sex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,718 | 02/12/2002 | Allan Y. Chen | 693243-76 (UCD-1120) | 1621 |
| 29585 | 7590 06/30/2003 | | | |
| GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 153 TOWNSEND SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KIM, JENNIFER M | |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 9410/ | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | _ |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003 | \$ |
| | | | | \ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/075,718 | CHEN, ALLAN Y. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jennifer Kim | 1617 | | | |
| Period fe | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address | | | |
| A SH THE | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be | | | | |
| - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the miled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr atute, cause the application to become ABANDO | om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 12 February 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | closed in accordance with the practice und | | | | | |
| · · | tion of Claims Claim(a) 1.22 in/ora panding in the applica | tion | | | | |
| 4)[| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5\□ | i) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | |
| | tion Papers | o. o.o., . o.q., | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | ccepted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to | o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11)[| The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)[| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| * | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| · | a) \square The translation of the foreign language | provisional application has been i | received. | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | iestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 | ZU and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachmei | • • | 4) Interview Comm | nany (PTO 413) Papar No/a) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(| 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/075,718

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method for enhancing the radiosensitivity of a neoplastic cell comprising contacting compound structure A, classified in class 514, subclass 410+.
- II. Claims 23-33, drawn to a method for treating a neoplastic growth comprising administering compound structure A, classified in class 514, subclass 410+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects since Group I is related to enhancing the radiosensitivity of a neoplastic cell while Group II is related to treating a neoplastic growth.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further the required non-patent literature search for Group I is not required for Group II and the examination of both independent and distinct invention would place burden on the Examiner.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/075,718

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Further restriction may be required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-2232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Neodore J. Criares Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

jmk June 21, 2003